

# Governance and Audit Committee

26 November 2024

Subject: Quarter Two Review of Strategic Risks 2024/25

Report by: Assistant Director People & Democratic Services

Contact Officer: Lisa Langdon

Assistant Director People & Democratic Services

Purpose / Summary: To present to Governance and Audit Committee

for review, the strategic risks facing the Council

as at September 2024

## **RECOMMENDATION(S):**

Governance and Audit Committee are asked to review the register and to consider:

- Do any additional risks of a strategic nature exist?
- Are current controls and proposed actions sufficiently robust?

### IMPLICATIONS

Legal: None

(N.B.) Where there are legal implications the report MUST be seen by the MO

Financial: FIN/102/25/VA

There are no financial implications arising from this report.

(N.B.) All committee reports MUST have a Fin Ref

Staffing: None

(N.B.) Where there are staffing implications the report MUST have a HR Ref

### **Equality and Diversity including Human Rights: None**

Any changes to projects/services/policies would require their own EIA to be carried out.

**Data Protection Implications: None** 

### Climate Related Risks and Opportunities:

The Strategic Risk register records, monitors and mitigates the risks of the Council not achieving its Corporate Plan and statutory objectives. This has been taken into account within these Strategic Risks in line with the Corporate Plan 2023-27.

Section 17 Crime and Disorder Considerations: None

**Health Implications: None** 

Title and Location of any Background Papers used in the preparation of this report :

https://www.west-lindsey.gov.uk/my-council/how-the-council-works/key-plans-policies-and-strategies/risk-management/

Risk Management Strategy 2019-2023

Call in and Urgency:			
Is the decision one which Rule 14	.7 of the Scrut	iny Procedure	Rules
i.e. is the report exempt from being called in due to urgency (in consultation with C&I chairman)	Yes	No	X
Key Decision:			
_		No	x

# Summary of Strategic Risks – as at September 2024

Theme	Reference	Description	Owner
Our Council	CO1	Inability to set a sustainable	Emma Foy
		balanced budget for 2024/25	
	CO2	Cost related to the proposed	Emma Foy
		asylum centre at Scampton	
		has an adverse impact on	
		financial sustainability	
	CO3	The quality of services do not	Nova Roberts
	CO4	meet customer expectations  The Council is undergroupered.	Sally Crindrod
	CO4	The Council is underprepared for the impact of extreme	Sally Grindrod- Smith
		weather due to the change in	Officer
		environmental conditions	
	CO5	Inability for the Council's	Lisa Langdon
		governance to support quality	
		decision making	
Our People	PE1	Inability to raise local	Sally Grindrod-
		educational attainment and	Smith
	PE2	skills levels	Sally Grindrod-
	PE2	Inadequate support is provided for vulnerable	Smith
		groups and communities	Officer
	PE3	Health and wellbeing of the	Sally Grindrod-
		District's residents does not	Smith
		improve.	
Our Place	PL1	The local housing market and	Sally Grindrod-
		the Council's housing related	Smith
	DI O	services do not meet demand	Only Onionduned
	PL2	The local economy does not grow sufficiently	Sally Grindrod- Smith
	PL3	Insufficient action taken to	Nova Roberts
	. 23	create a cleaner and safer	Tiova resolution
		district	
	PL4	Inability to deliver our Climate	Rachael Hughes
		Change ambitions and not	
		deliver net zero carbon	
Overershing	OV1	emissions by 2050	Ian Knowles
Overarching	OVI	Inability to maintain critical services and deal with	ian knowles
		emergency events	
	OV2	ICT Security and Information	Nova Roberts
		Governance arrangements	
		are ineffective (Parts A-D)	
	OV3	Inability to maintain service	Nova Roberts
		delivery with the amount of	
	OV4	change initiatives	Licalonados
	0 0 4	Failure to comply with legislation	Lisa Langdon
		IEGISIALIUI I	

OV5	Central Lincolnshire Local	Rachael Hughes
	Plan does not deliver land	· ·
	required for sustainable	
	development to meet the	
	needs of residents,	
	businesses and communities	

### 1 Introduction

- 1.1 Strategic risks are considered as being those faced by the Council that, if materialised, would adversely impact the delivery of corporate priorities.
- 1.2 This approach reflects the guidance provided by the Association of Local Authority Risk Managers (ALARM). This body advocates that strategic risks should focus on the long-term objectives of the organisation, which can be affected by areas such as financial concerns, political risks, legal and regulatory changes and changes in the physical environment.
- 1.3 The Governance and Audit Committee review the strategic risks on a quarterly basis. (Previously presented 16 July 2024)

### 2 Monitoring Arrangements

- 2.1 The strategic risks are presented to the Council's Management Team monthly for review. The risks now have an individual reference according to the Corporate Plan.
- 2.2 The Management Team review the risks, control measures and future actions to ensure that they remain sufficiently robust to mitigate the identified risks.
- 2.3 Where corrective action is required and/or additional risks are identified, the strategic risk register is updated accordingly.
- 2.5 Since the last report in July 2024 there has been a small number of changes. Most changes are to the commentary, current controls or actions needed. However, where changes have affected the score, ownership or it is a new risk these are noted below:

Ref:	Strategic Risk	Change	Owner
CO2	Cost related to the proposed asylum centre at Scampton has an adverse impact on financial sustainability	New Score	Emma Foy
CO3	The quality of services do not meet customer expectations	Change of ownership	Nova Roberts
CO4	The Council is underprepared for the impact of extreme weather due to the change in environmental conditions	Change of ownership and new score	Sally Grindrod- Smith

OV1	Inability to maintain critical services and deal with emergency events	Change of ownership	Ian Knowles
OV6	Increased Cyber threat to the General Election' and 'threat to the UK democratic organisations and processes'	Deleted Risk	Nova Roberts

2.6 The full detailed list of strategic risks are presented in Appendix One.

### 3. Risk Matrix

3.1 To assess the severity of potential risks, the Council uses the following matrix based on the relationship between the likelihood and impact of risks arising.

I	Critical	4	8	12	16
m	Major	3	6	9	12
р	Minor	2	4	6	8
a	Negligible	1	2	3	4
С		Hardly Ever	Possible	Probable	Almost Certain
t	Likelihood				

3.2 The following guidance is available to determine which classification is applied:

You should assign a number in the range 1-4 as follows:				
Likelihood:				
1 = Hardly Ever (<5%)				
2= Possible (5-35%)				
3= Probable (35-75%)				
4= Almost Certain (>75%)				
1 = Negligible Impact:	2 = Minor Impact			
<ul> <li>Minor service disruption</li> </ul>	Service disruption			
Minor Injury	•			
<ul> <li>Financial loss &lt; £250k</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Isolated complaints</li> </ul>	£500k			
μ	Adverse local media			
	coverage			
	Failure to achieve a service			
plan objective				
3 = Major Impact 4 = Critical				
Significant service disruption	<ul> <li>Total service loss for a</li> </ul>			
Major/disabling injury	significant period			

- Financial loss >£500k £1m
- Adverse national media coverage
- Failure to achieve Corporate Plan objective
- Fatality to employee, service user or other
- Financial loss >£1m
- Ministerial intervention in running service
- 3.3 This methodology enables each risk to be categorised as either low, medium or high in nature and prioritisation as regards mitigations can be applied.
- 3.4 Using the methodology, the Council's Risk Management Strategy (2019-2023) sets out the requirement for risk owners to score the current (residual) risk and the target risk once mitigations have been applied.
- 3.5 According to the risk matrix the current risks have been mapped to allow for an insight into how our Strategic Risks are positioned against the risk matrix. From the table below, the Strategic Risks have all been referenced according to how they appear in the Strategic Risk Register. The below table allows us to holistically review our risks and easily identify risks outside tolerance.

		Likelihood			
		Hardly	Possible	Probable	Almost
		Ever - 1	- 2	- 3	Certain -
	Critical - 4	PL4 OV2a	PL3 OV2b OV2c OV2d OV3 OV4	CO1	
Impact	Major - 3	CO2	CO3 CO4 CO5 OV1 OV5	PE1 PE2 PE3 PL1 PL2	
	Minor - 2				
	Negligible - 1				

3.6 To show how mitigations and controls are impacting the scores of risks, the direction of travel is included in Appendix A for each Strategic Risk compared to the last quarter. As a summary the following table highlights how many residual risk scores have improved, stayed the same or deteriorated since quarter 1.

<b>←</b>	2
=	18
$\rightarrow$	0

#### Recommendation 4.

- Members are asked to review the register and to consider: 4.1

  - Do any additional risks of a strategic nature exist? Are current controls and proposed actions sufficiently robust?